

# Math 255A' Lecture 23 Notes

Daniel Raban

November 22, 2019

## 1 Spectral Theorem for Normal Operators

### 1.1 Spectral theorem for normal operators

Let  $T$  be a self-adjoint, bounded operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ . We have shown that

$$T = \int_{[a,b]} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

in the sense that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \int_{[a,b]} \lambda d\langle E(\lambda), x, y \rangle,$$

where  $d\langle E(\lambda), x, y \rangle$  is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure given by the map  $\lambda \mapsto \langle E(\lambda), x, y \rangle$ .

We can extend our functional calculus to Borel-measurable functions by defining  $f(T)$  to satisfy

$$\langle f(T)x, y \rangle = \int_{[a,b]} f(\lambda) d\langle E(\lambda), x, y \rangle.$$

So we can construct a **spectral measure**  $E : \mathcal{B}([a, b]) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(H)$  such that

- $E(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $E([a, b]) = I$ ,
- If  $A_n$  are disjoint,  $E(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(A_n)x$  for all  $x$  (this is a weak operator convergent sum).
- $E(A \cap B) = E(A)E(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}([a, b])$ .

Diagonalization of an operator looks like

$$T = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)} \lambda P_{\lambda}.$$

In the self-adjoint case, all  $\lambda$ s must be real. In the case of normal operators,  $\lambda$  may be complex.

**Theorem 1.1** (Spectral theorem for bounded normal operators). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $N \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be normal. Then there is a compact  $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and a spectral measure  $E : \mathcal{B}(D) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(H)$  such that*

$$N = \int_D z dE(z).$$

*In other words,*

$$\langle Nx, y \rangle = \int z d\langle E(z)x, y \rangle,$$

*where  $U \mapsto \langle E(U)x, y \rangle$  is a complex-valued measure for each  $x, y \in H$ .*

Given  $N$ , we can write  $S + iT$ , where  $S, T$  are both self-adjoint and commute.

**Lemma 1.1.** *In the spectral representation of  $T$ , every  $E(\lambda)$  commutes with every operator that commutes with  $T$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p \in \mathbb{R}[t]$  and  $S$  commutes with  $T$ , then  $S$  commutes with  $p(T)$ . Commutativity survives for  $f(T)$  with  $f \in C([a, b])$  by convergence in operator norm. Finally, if  $T_n \xrightarrow{WO} T$  and  $ST_n = T_nS$  for all  $n$ , then

$$\langle STx, y \rangle = \langle Tx, S^*y \rangle = \lim_n \langle T_nx, S^*y \rangle = \langle TSx, y \rangle,$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ , so  $ST = TS$ . □

**Corollary 1.1.**  $E^S(\mu)E^T(\lambda) = E^T(\lambda)E^S(\mu)$  for all  $\lambda, \mu$ .

*Proof.* Apply the lemma twice. □

Now, given  $(p, q] + i(r, s] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} E((p, q] + i(r, s]) &:= E^S((p, q]) + iE^T((r, s]) \\ &= (E^S(q) - E^S(p)) + i(E^T(s) - E^T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Warning: We may have  $\langle E^S((p, q])E^T((r, s])x, u \rangle \neq \langle E^S((p, q])x, y \rangle \langle E^T((r, s])x, y \rangle$ .

Let  $a^S = \inf \langle Sx, x \rangle$  and  $b^S = \sup \langle Sx, x \rangle$ , and define  $a^T$  and  $b^T$  similarly. We can now define

$$N' = \int_D z dE(z), \quad D = [a^S, b^S] + i[a^T, b^T].$$

We want to check that  $N' = N$ . Using the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators,

$$N' = \int_D x dE(z) + i \int_D y dE(z) = S + iT = N.$$

The middle step is by a ‘‘Fubini’’-type argument.

## 1.2 Approximate eigenvalues

In the compact case, we had  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i P_{\lambda_i}$ , where the  $\lambda_i$  were the eigenvalues of  $T$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a normed space.  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an **approximate eigenvalue** for  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  if

$$\inf\{\|(T - \lambda)x\| : \|x\| = 1\} = 0.$$

For compact operators, we saw that these were actually eigenvalues of the operator. In general, this isn't true. Here is an example:

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $H = L^2([0, 1])$ , and let  $Tf(x) = xf(x)$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an approximate eigenvalue if and only if  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , so  $(T - \lambda)f(x) = (x - \lambda)f(x)$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , pick  $f \in L^2([0, 1])$  with  $\|f\| = 1$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin (\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon)$ . Then  $\|Tf\| \leq \varepsilon\|f\|$ .  $\square$

How does this play into our spectral representation,  $T = \int_{[a,b]} \lambda dE(\lambda)$ ?

**Definition 1.2.** The **support** of  $E$  is  $\{\lambda : E(\lambda + \varepsilon) \neq E(\lambda - \varepsilon) \forall \varepsilon > 0\}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** The support of  $E$  is the set of approximate eigenvalues for  $T$ .

*Proof.* ( $\supseteq$ ): Suppose that  $E(c) = E(d)$  for some  $a \leq c < d \leq b$ , and let  $c < \mu < d$ ; we will show that  $\mu$  is not an approximate eigenvalue. Then

$$T = \int_{[a,b]} \lambda dE(\lambda) = \int_{[a,c]} \lambda dE(\lambda) + \int_{[d,b]} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

so we get

$$T - \mu = \int_{[a,b]} (\lambda - \mu) dE(\lambda) = \underbrace{\int_{[a,c]} (\lambda - \mu) dE(\lambda)}_{T_1} + \underbrace{\int_{[d,b]} (\lambda - \mu) dE(\lambda)}_{T_2}.$$

Both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are reduced by  $I = E(c) + E(d, b]$ . If we write  $x = x_1 + x_2$ , then

$$\|T_1 x_1\| \geq |c - \mu| \|x_1\|, \quad \|T_2 x_2\| \geq |d - \mu| \|x_2\|,$$

so we cannot make these arbitrarily small.

( $\supseteq$ ): If  $\mu \in \text{spt}(E)$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $E(\mu - \varepsilon, \mu + \varepsilon] \neq 0$ . Pick  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  such that  $E(\mu - \varepsilon, \mu + \varepsilon]x = x$ . Then

$$\langle (T - \mu)x, y \rangle = \int_{[a,b]} (\lambda - \mu) dE(\lambda)x = \int_{[\mu - \varepsilon, \mu + \varepsilon]} (\lambda - \mu) d\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle \leq \varepsilon \|x\| \|y\|. \quad \square$$

This will give us a better idea of what the set  $D$  is. It will be a set of eigenvalues.

### 1.3 Banach algebras

**Definition 1.3.** An **algebra** over  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space  $A$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  together with a multiplication  $A \times A \rightarrow A : (a, b) \mapsto ab$  which is associative and distributive with addition. An algebra has an **identity** if there is some  $e \in A$  such that  $ea = ae = a$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A **Banach algebra** is a Banach space  $A$  which is also an algebra such that

$$\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

**Example 1.1.** Let  $X$  be compact and Hausdorff. Then  $C(X)$  is a Banach algebra. If  $X$  is locally compact,  $C_0(X)$  is a Banach algebra.

**Example 1.2.**  $L^\infty(\mu)$  is a Banach algebra.

These are all commutative. Here are some noncommutative examples.

**Example 1.3.**  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is a Banach algebra if  $X$  is a Banach space.

**Example 1.4.** The collection of compact operators,  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , is a Banach algebra (when  $X$  is a Banach space).